12:12PM

1		TED STATES DISTRICT COURT TERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	WES	TERN DISTRICT OF NEW TORK
3		
	UNITED STATES OF AME	•
4		Case No. 1:22-cr-86 (LJV)
5	Plai	ntiff, 1:24-cr-31 (LJV)
	VS.	May 29, 2024
6		
7	JUSTIN HALL,	ndant.
,		indaire.
8		
9	T	RANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING
1.0	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE J. VILARDO	
10	UNT:	FED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		
12	APPEARANCES:	TRINI E. ROSS, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: GARRETT S. FITZSIMMONS, ESQ.
		Assistant United States Attorney
13		138 Delaware Avenue
1 /		Federal Centre
14		Buffalo, New York 14203 For the Plaintiff
15		
		LIPSITZ GREEN SCIME CAMBRIA LLP
16		BY: BARRY NELSON COVERT, ESQ.
17		42 Delaware Avenue Suite 120
Τ /		Buffalo, New York 14203
18		For the Defendant
19	PRESENT:	APRIL L. KELLY, USA Paralegal
20	PROBATION:	LISA B. FERRARO, USPO
21	COURT DEPUTY CLERK:	COLLEEN M. DEMMA
22	COURT REPORTER:	ANN MEISSNER SAWYER, FCRR, RPR, CRR
23		Robert H. Jackson Courthouse 2 Niagara Square
		Buffalo, New York 14202
24		Ann_Sawyer@nywd.uscourts.gov
25		

(Proceedings commenced at 12:30 p.m.) 12:30PM 1 THE CLERK: All rise. United States District Court 2 12:30PM for the Western District of New York is now in session, the 12:30PM 3 Honorable Lawrence J. Vilardo presiding. 12:30PM THE COURT: Please be seated. 12:30PM 22-CR-86 and 24-CR-31, United States of 12:30PM THE CLERK: 6 America versus Justin Hall. 12:31PM Assistant United States Attorney Garrett Fitzsimmons, 8 12:31PM 9 and paralegal April Kelly, appearing on behalf of the 12:31PM 10 12:31PM government. 12:31PM 11 Attorney Barry Covert appearing with defendant. 12 Defendant is present. 12:31PM 13 Also present is U.S. Probation Officer Lisa Ferraro. 12:31PM 14 This is the date set for sentencing. 12:31PM THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. 15 12:31PM 16 ALL PARTIES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 12:31PM THE COURT: Mr. Hall is before the Court for 17 12:31PM sentencing on his previous pleas of guilty to Count 1 of the 12:31PM 18 19 superseding indictment in Western District of New York, 12:31PM 12:31PM 20 22-CR-86, charging that he possessed a firearm when he was 21 prohibited from doing so because he had a prior domestic 12:31PM 22 violence criminal conviction in violation of Title 18, United 12:31PM 23 States Code, Section 922(q)(9) and 924(a)(2), and Count 1 of 12:31PM 24 the indictment in the District of Nevada, 22-CR-32, 12:31PM 25 transferred to this district as 24-CR-31, and that also 12:31PM

12:32PM	1	charged that he possessed a firearm when he was prohibited
12:32PM	2	from doing so because he had a prior domestic violence
12:32PM	3	criminal conviction in violation of 18, United States Code,
12:32PM	4	Section 922(g)(9) and 924(a)(2).
12:32PM	5	We're going to begin with some questions that I have
12:32PM	6	for the lawyers and for you, Mr. Hall, about the presentence
12:32PM	7	investigation report.
12:32PM	8	I then am going to make sure that I've received and
12:32PM	9	read all the sentencing-related submissions.
12:32PM	10	After that, I plan to make some findings of fact and
12:32PM	11	calculate the applicable sentencing guidelines range. And
12:32PM	12	then before I state the sentence, I'm going to give the
12:32PM	13	lawyers and you an opportunity to talk to me about anything
12:32PM	14	anyone thinks is relevant to sentencing.
12:32PM	15	So are there any questions before we begin from the
12:32PM	16	government?
12:32PM	17	MR. FITZSIMMONS: No, Your Honor.
12:32PM	18	THE COURT: From the defense?
12:32PM	19	MR. COVERT: No, Your Honor.
12:32PM	20	THE COURT: Mr. Covert, have you had enough time to
12:32PM	21	read the presentence report prepared on April 10th, 2024,
12:32PM	22	revised on April 26th, 2024, and finally revised and filed
12:32PM	23	April 29, 2024, and to review it with your client?
12:32PM	24	MR. COVERT: I have.
12:32PM	25	THE COURT: And, Ms. Ferraro, there were no revisions

```
12:33PM
                  or updates to the presentence report that was filed on
              1
                  April 29th, 2024; is that correct?
              2
12:33PM
                           USPO FERRARO: That's correct, Your Honor.
12:33PM
                           THE COURT: Mr. Covert, did you explain the contents
12:33PM
              5
12:33PM
                  of the report to Mr. Hall?
                           MR. COVERT: We did.
12:33PM
                           THE COURT: Do you have any concerns about his
12:33PM
                  ability to understand it?
              8
12:33PM
              9
                           MR. COVERT: None at all.
12:33PM
             10
                           THE COURT: Mr. Hall, did you receive a copy of the
12:33PM
                  presentence report prepared on April 10th, revised on
12:33PM
             11
             12
                  April 26th, and then finally revised and filed on April 29th,
12:33PM
             13
                  2024.
12:33PM
             14
                           THE DEFENDANT: I did, sir.
12:33PM
             15
                           THE COURT: Did your attorney explain it to you?
12:33PM
             16
                           THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12:33PM
             17
                           THE COURT: Do you understand it?
12:33PM
12:33PM
             18
                           THE DEFENDANT:
                                            Fully.
             19
                           THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it?
12:33PM
12:33PM
             20
                           THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
             21
                           THE COURT: Do you need more time to talk to
12:33PM
             22
                  Mr. Covert about it or to read it?
12:33PM
             23
                           THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
12:33PM
             24
                           THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Covert, do you want to contest
12:33PM
             25
                  or change anything in the report?
12:33PM
```

12:33PM	1	MR. COVERT: No.
12:33PM	2	THE COURT: And that includes both the facts and the
12:33PM	3	guideline calculation, correct?
12:33PM	4	MR. COVERT: That's correct.
12:33PM	5	THE COURT: Mr. Hall, do you want to contest or
12:33PM	6	change in anything in the report?
12:33PM	7	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
12:33PM	8	THE COURT: And, Mr. Fitzsimmons, does the government
12:33PM	9	want to contest or change anything in the report?
12:33PM	10	MR. FITZSIMMONS: No, Your Honor.
12:33PM	11	THE COURT: And that includes both the facts and the
12:33PM	12	guideline calculation, correct?
12:33PM	13	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Correct.
12:33PM	14	THE COURT: Mr. Covert, I've received and reviewed
12:34PM	15	the defendant's statement with respect to sentencing factors,
12:34PM	16	and the defendant's sentencing memorandum, that attached:
12:34PM	17	His letter to the Court;
12:34PM	18	It attached a 2017 domestic violence judgment of
12:34PM	19	conviction and order from the Court from Virginia Township,
12:34PM	20	Nevada;
12:34PM	21	An annotated version of the indictment in United
12:34PM	22	States versus Hall in the District of Nevada;
12:34PM	23	A 2016 letter from Amanda Barrow, indicating that she
12:34PM	24	did not have a domestic dispute with Mr. Hall;
12:34PM	25	Mr. Hall's 2024 certificate of graduation from the

```
Cattaraugus County Action Inside/Out Dads and Money Smarts
12:34PM
              1
              2
                  program; and
12:34PM
                           A legal document that was sealed by Mr. Hall and
12:34PM
12:34PM
              4
                  Russell J. Gould.
                           And then letters written on Mr. Hall's behalf by:
12:34PM
12:34PM
                           Barbara Restad, his aunt;
                           Gordon Gray, who's known him for 20 years;
12:34PM
                           Aaron Resavage, who's known him for a couple years;
              8
12:34PM
              9
                           Sheri Van Ness, who's known him for many years;
12:34PM
             10
                           Tawnya MacLeod, who's also known him for many years.
12:35PM
12:35PM
             11
                           And then there was also a letter from Monica
             12
                  Goncalves. Was that -- was that intentionally attached to
12:35PM
             13
                  this?
12:35PM
             14
                           MR. COVERT: Yes.
12:35PM
                                        Tell me why that -- what the connection
             15
                           THE COURT:
12:35PM
             16
                  of that is.
12:35PM
             17
                           MR. COVERT: I believe that that's the letter that
12:35PM
12:35PM
             18
                  explains the issues in relation to Tawnya MacLeod's son that
             19
                  Tawnya MacLeod would like my client to be present and assist
12:35PM
12:35PM
             20
                  her in raising that child because he has those issues, is my
             21
                  understanding of it, Your Honor.
12:35PM
             22
                           THE COURT: Oh, okay. I see. Okay. Okay.
12:35PM
             23
                  This is the child who Ms. MacLeod was with her in
12:35PM
             24
                  Massachusetts?
12:35PM
             25
                           MR. COVERT: In Marlboro, Massachusetts.
12:35PM
```

12:35PM	1	THE COURT: Got it.
12:35PM	2	MR. COVERT: Correct, Your Honor.
12:35PM	3	THE COURT: Now I understand.
12:35PM	4	MR. COVERT: Sorry for any confusion.
12:35PM	5	THE COURT: No, no, that's okay. I read it, and it
12:35PM	6	just didn't register with me, but
12:35PM	7	MR. COVERT: Same when I read it.
12:35PM	8	THE COURT: When I look at it again, and I see the
12:36PM	9	name Tawnya MacLeod
12:36PM	10	MR. COVERT: And the red paper doesn't help.
12:36PM	11	THE COURT: Well, I was able to read it. I was able
12:36PM	12	to read it. So, okay. So, is that everything?
12:36PM	13	MR. COVERT: It is, Your Honor.
12:36PM	14	THE COURT: And is there anything else you'd like to
12:36PM	15	submit in writing?
12:36PM	16	MR. COVERT: No, Your Honor.
12:36PM	17	THE COURT: Mr. Fitzsimmons, I've received the
12:36PM	18	government's statement with respect to sentencing factors, and
12:36PM	19	its memorandum of law in opposition to Mr. Hall's sentencing
12:36PM	20	submissions, with attachments A through J; is that everything?
12:36PM	21	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Yes, Your Honor.
12:36PM	22	THE COURT: There anything else the government would
12:36PM	23	like to submit in writing?
12:36PM	24	MR. FITZSIMMONS: No, Your Honor.
12:36PM	25	THE COURT: Finally, consistent with Federal Rule of

12:36PM Criminal Procedure 32(e)(3) as interpreted by the D.C. Circuit 1 in McIlwain, have both sides been given access to the sealed 2 12:36PM sentencing recommendation that was filed on May 15th, 2024? 12:36PM Mr. Covert? 12:36PM MR. COVERT: Yes, Your Honor. 12:36PM 12:36PM THE COURT: Mr. Fitzsimmons? MR. FITZSIMMONS: Yes. 12:36PM THE COURT: I previously accepted the defendant's 8 12:36PM 9 pleas of guilty to Count 1 of the superseding indictment in 12:36PM Western District of New York 22-CR-86, as well as Count 1 of 10 12:36PM the indictment in the District of Nevada 22-CR-32, transferred 12:36PM 11 12 to this District as 24-CR-31, each charging that he possessed 12:37PM a firearm when he was prohibited from doing so because he had 13 12:37PM 14 a prior domestic violence conviction in violence -- a prior 12:37PM domestic violence criminal conviction in violation of 12:37PM 15 16 Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(q)(9) and 924(a)(2). 12:37PM 17 At that time, I deferred acceptance of the plea 12:37PM I now accept the terms and conditions of the plea 12:37PM 18 19 agreement that was signed on February 16th, 2024, and the 12:37PM judgment and sentence will be consistent with it. 12:37PM 20 21 I note that in the plea agreement, the government 12:37PM 22 agreed to move to dismiss the open count of the indictment 12:37PM 23 pending against the defendant in 22-CR-86. So I also find 12:37PM 24 that the charges to which the defendant pleaded guilty, that 12:37PM 25 is Count 1 of each of the indictments, adequately reflects the 12:37PM

seriousness of the actual offense behavior, and that accepting the agreement will not undermine the statutory purposes of sentencing or the guidelines.

The dismissed count involves the transportation of firearms and ammunition in the Western District of New York case while the defendant was charged in Nevada, and all the conduct involved in that count is accounted for in the guidelines calculation. So the plea agreement is consistent with both the guidelines and the statutory purposes of sentencing.

The defendant and the government have both filed statements with respect to sentencing factors, and both have accepted the United States Probation and Pretrial Service Office's presentence report.

Based on the parties' submissions and representations today in court, there are no disputes about the facts included in the presentence report.

I've reviewed that report as well. Based on my review, based on the written submissions, and based on the positions taken on the record today, I adopt the facts in the report as my findings of fact, and I incorporate them into the record.

I will now place the presentence investigation report filed on April 29th, 2024, in the record under seal. If an appeal is filed, counsel on appeal will be given access to

12:37PM

12:37PM

12:37PM

12:37PM

12:37PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM 12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM

12:38PM 23

12:38PM 24

12:38PM

that sealed report.

12:39PM

12:40PM

12:40PM

12:40PM

12:40PM

12:40PM

12:40PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let's turn to the guidelines which I must calculate and consider as an important part of my determination of a sentence. And I apologize to everyone for the very technical nature of what's about to follow, but the guidelines and the reasons behind the guidelines make this a necessary step in the process.

Based on the parties' submissions, and based on their representations today in court, there also are no disputes regarding the recommendations in the presentence report as to the applicable sections of the Sentencing Commission's advisory guidelines or the computation of those guidelines.

The presentence report calculates under the 2023 version of the guidelines manual, first, that counts are grouped together under 3D1.2(d). And then, because the offense with the higher offense level involved a semiautomatic firearm that was capable of accepting a large-capacity magazine, and because large-capacity magazines were found near the ARM A-15 rifle, Section 2K2.1(a)(4)(B) provides for a base offense level of 20.

The presentence report then recommends that the offense level be increased by two levels under 2K2.1(b)(1)(A) of the guidelines, because the offense involved between three and seven firearms, here four firearms.

20, plus 2, gets us to 22.

12:40PM The presentence report then recommends that the 1 offense level be decreased by two levels under 3E1.1(a) of the 12:40PM 2 3 guidelines because the defendant has accepted responsibility 12:40PM for his conduct. 12:40PM And in its statement with respect to sentencing 12:40PM 12:40PM 6 factors, the government has moved for an additional one-level decrease of the offense level under 3E1.1(b). I grant that 12:40PM motion by the government. 8 12:40PM 9 So based on all that, the presentence report 12:40PM calculates the total offense level to be 19. 22, minus 2, 10 12:40PM 11 minus 1, is 19. 12:40PM 12 The report calculates the criminal history category 12:40PM of the defendant to be Category I based on a criminal history 13 12:40PM 14 score of 1. Based on my factual findings, I agree with those 12:41PM calculations of both the offense level and the criminal 15 12:41PM 16 history category. 12:41PM 17 So with a total offense level of 19, and a criminal 12:41PM history category of I, the presentence report calculates the 12:41PM 18 19 guidelines range as a sentence of imprisonment of 30 to 37 12:41PM months, a fine range of \$10,000 to \$100,000, supervised 12:41PM 20 21 release of one to three years, and a mandatory special 12:41PM 22 assessment of \$100 that I must impose. I agree with all those 12:41PM 23 calculations, as well. 12:41PM 24 Mr. Hall, in the Supreme Court's decision in United 12:41PM 25

States versus Booker and the 2nd Circuit's decision in United

12:41PM

12:41PM

12:41PM

12:41PM

12:41PM

12:42PM

States versus Crosby, I must consider the guidelines but I'm 1 not bound by them. I also must consider the factors in 18, 2 United States Code, Section 3553(a). Those factors include 3 4 the nature and the circumstances of the offense; your history 5 and characteristics; the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, 6 and to provide a fair punishment to you; the need to deter others from committing crimes and to protect the public from 8 9 your crimes; the need to provide you with educational or vocational training in an attempt to rehabilitate you; the 10 11 types of sentences that are available; any policy statements 12 issued by the Sentencing Commission; and sentences given to others who committed crimes similar to the one to which you 13 14 pleaded quilty. I plan to take all those factors into account when I 15 16 impose sentence, but before I do, I want to give the lawyers 17 and you a chance to talk to me about anything anyone thinks is 18 relevant. So we're going to start with the government. 19 Mr. Fitzsimmons, does the government want to make any 20 remarks? 21 MR. FITZSIMMONS: Yes, Your Honor. May I use the 22 podium? 23 THE COURT: You may. MR. FITZSIMMONS: Your Honor, in our system of 24 25 government, no one is above the law; not myself, not

12:42PM

12:43PM

12:44PM

12:44PM

12:44PM

12:44PM

12:44PM

12:44PM

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, not members of law enforcement, and not the defendant.

The Department of Justice, with the authority vested to it by the Executive Branch, is entrusted to meet the Constitution's solemn commands to take care that the laws of the United States are faithfully executed. One of those laws is Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(9), which prohibits the possession of firearms by individuals previously convicted of a domestic violence crime.

The defendant has twice violated that statute, and has now admitted to doing so by possessing numerous firearms and scores of ammunition. Yet despite those admissions, the defendant continues to declare that he is above the law, even after his plea of guilty.

He contends that his self-declared status as a sovereign national strips this Court of its authority to do its own -- to perform its own constitutional function, to interpret and apply the laws of the United States.

He has called this Court a fraud. He has called this Court part of a two-tiered justice system that is bent on prosecuting him.

To be clear, the defendant is not here because the government, law enforcement, or this Court, are out to impress him. The defendant is here because he committed serious violations of federal law, and he is not entitled to act with

impunity.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12:44PM

12:45PM

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. And I understand your argument, and your argument resonates with me. I get that. I get the fact that Mr. Hall has basically said on a number of occasions that he doesn't think this law applies to him, and that it violates his right under the Second Amendment and those kinds of things. We're not going to change his mind. He -- he -- he believes that, and I believe that he firmly believes that. We're not going to change his mind.

And what we're talking about between your recommendation and Mr. Covert's recommendation -- I'm going to ask Mr. Covert the same question -- is a relatively short, I mean, it's not even relatively short, it is very short. He may -- he may qualify for release, if I give him 30 months, he may qualify for release next week. So tell me, what -- what is it about the 30 months? What is it about the fact that the guidelines somewhat artificially picked 30 months at the low end for this that's so important to you?

And I'm going to ask Mr. Covert the same question with respect to what he's asking for, which is time served.

Because I think my goal here has to be, given the fact that we're not talking about much time, my goal here has to be to impose a sentence that sends the right message to Mr. Hall, to society, to everyone, it's not just to him, it's -- it's a message that I want to send from here to

1 everyone.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12:45PM

12:45PM

12:45PM

12:46PM

And Mr. Hall and I have disagreed any number of times. But over the past few months, we've come, I think, to an agreement, and some mutual respect for each other.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I think that that's been very clear from the change in his demeanor and the change in his attitude. So tell me what's so important about the 30 months.

MR. FITZSIMMONS: Sure, Your Honor. Part of it is -- are the principles that are at stake here. And like you said, this will send a message both to the defendant and to the broader community.

But I will first address the last comment that you made, that Mr. Hall seems to have made some progress throughout these court proceedings from where he was on September 8th, where he was threatening the Court with a military tribunal, to his guilty plea.

What is important to the government was the letter he submitted after he had that plea of guilty in which he then calls our entire justice system a two-tiered system of justice that is bent on persecuting him, and has called this Court a fraud, and this Court and these proceedings a miscarriage of justice.

So that -- the progress that appeared to have been made at the last in-person proceeding in terms of his guilty

```
12:47PM
                  plea seems to have gone -- we have taken a step backwards.
              1
                  And so that disposition, his attitude towards this Court,
              2
12:47PM
                  towards the judicial institution, towards the criminal justice
              3
12:47PM
12:47PM
              4
                  system in general, weighs against a lower guideline -- or,
                  sorry, a below-quideline sentence.
12:47PM
12:47PM
              6
                           But that is also one of many factors that I will sort
                  of get into, because that is sort of part of his history and
12:47PM
                  characteristics, but the nature of the offenses here are also
              8
12:47PM
              9
                  very serious, and so I would like to --
12:47PM
             10
                           THE COURT:
                                        I don't disagree with that.
12:47PM
                           MR. FITZSIMMONS: -- I'd like to walk through those
12:47PM
             11
             12
                  as well --
12:47PM
             13
                           THE COURT:
                                        Go ahead.
12:47PM
             14
                           MR. FITZSIMMONS: -- because 30 months is the low end
12:47PM
                  of the guidelines, which the government has agreed not to
             15
12:47PM
             16
                  object to, but the government feels is fair even considering
12:47PM
             17
                  all the progress the defendant has made, and some of the
12:47PM
                  more -- and his sympathetic back story --
12:47PM
             18
             19
                           THE COURT: And, by the way, Ms. Ferraro, I recognize
12:47PM
12:47PM
             20
                  that probation has recommended a sentence at the high end of
             21
                  the guidelines, and I am not discounting that, either. I
12:47PM
             22
                  don't want you to think that I'm simply deciding between the
12:47PM
             23
                  two recommendations and the lawyers, I'm considering
12:47PM
             24
                  probation's recommendation as well.
12:48PM
             25
                           So go ahead, Mr. Fitzsimmons.
12:48PM
```

MR. FITZSIMMONS: Sure. So let me turn to the 1 12:48PM sentencing factors. The three considerations that I want to 2 12:48PM focus on today are the gravity of the offenses, his history 3 12:48PM 4 and characteristics, and the need for this sentence to promote 12:48PM respect for the rule of law. 12:48PM 12:48PM 6 First, seriousness. The defendant was caught with an AR-15-style rifle, a scoped rifle with a bipod mount, and a 12:48PM shotgun concealed in quitar cases. 8 12:48PM 9 In addition, the defendant possessed over 1,200 12:48PM rounds of firearm ammunition. 1,200 rounds. 10 12:48PM THE COURT: Were all the -- all of the firearms 12:48PM 11 12 concealed? Were they all in quitar cases? 12:48PM 13 MR. FITZSIMMONS: All of the -- the three firearms, 12:48PM 14 there was an additional -- a fourth firearm, that was an older 12:48PM firearm, that was not subject to these proceedings that I 15 12:48PM 16 don't believe was concealed. All three firearms were found in 12:48PM cases, two of which were very clearly guitar cases, one of 17 12:48PM which also appears to be a quitar case but was not fully 12:48PM 18 19 clear. 12:48PM 12:48PM 20 The defendant possessed body armor, a helmet, a knife, and an axe. He also possessed items and symbols 21 12:49PM 22 espousing antigovernment views. In his interview, the 12:49PM 23 defendant stated that he had these weapons -- firearms and 12:49PM 24 weapons to defend himself from people he believed to be coming 12:49PM 25 after him in Nevada. 12:49PM

12:50PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Firearms concealed in guitar cases are not consistent with that defensive use. 1,200 rounds of ammunition are not consistent with that defensive use. A scoped rifle with a bipod mount, a mount designed to permit a shooter to fire accurately while down in a prone position are not consistent with defensive use.

Also in his interview, the defendant proclaimed a desire to do violence to people who he believes have wronged him. He joked about how he can't just get into Ghillie suit and shoot people.

The Court may already know what a Ghillie suit is but, for the record, it is a form of camouflage clothing, a full suit which allows snipers and hunters to hide in foliage to prevent detection by their target. It is primarily used with a scoped rifle and a bipod stand to permit effective distance shooting while lying in the prone position.

To be clear, the defendant stated over and over again that he has never wanted to be violent. He has stated that he never has been violent, and he had not violent with law enforcement both times that he was arrested. But the nature of the items recovered, his own statements, and the antigovernment rhetoric all point to a gravely serious offense.

One of the defendant's counter arguments that I would like to address is that he attempts to minimize --

THE COURT: When you say it points to a gravely 12:50PM 1 serious offense, I get that. But, you know, keeping him in 2 12:50PM jail for another three weeks, what are we accomplishing by 12:50PM 12:50PM 4 that? MR. FITZSIMMONS: Your Honor, it doesn't matter if it 12:50PM 5 12:50PM 6 was three weeks, three days, three months. Here, I -- the government believes that it is inappropriate to go below the 12:50PM sentencing quidelines because when everything has been already 8 12:50PM 9 been factored into this including, at least from our 12:50PM 10 perspective, including the -- his sort of background, his 12:50PM positive attributes as well, and 30 months the government 12:51PM 11 12 feels is more than fair to the defendant. 12:51PM 13 THE COURT: Are you bound by that, by the plea 12:51PM 14 agreement to do that? 12:51PM MR. FITZSIMMONS: Absolutely, Your Honor. 15 12:51PM 16 THE COURT: Okay. 12:51PM 17 MR. FITZSIMMONS: So, one of the counter arguments 12:51PM that defendant has made throughout these proceedings is that 12:51PM 18 19 he was not aware that he was a prohibited person at the time 12:51PM 12:51PM 20 that he possessed these firearms. That's inconsistent with 21 his own statements. When the defendant was released from his 12:51PM 22 2021 Nevada state case, he was released on conditions that 12:51PM 23 prohibited him from possessing firearms. He signed that 12:51PM 24 release form, colon Justin dash Andrew colon Hall. Those 12:51PM 25 conditions were in effect when he drove to Buffalo with the 12:51PM

firearms in his truck.

12:51PM

12:51PM

12:51PM

12:51PM

12:52PM

12:53PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second, when he was interviewed in Buffalo, the defendant brought up his possession of these firearms, and he didn't tell law enforcement I own these firearms legally. He didn't tell law enforcement I used to be a prohibited person but that two-year period is up. The defendant stated he knew he was a prohibited person, but that he believed the government could not infringe on his right to possess firearms. In other words, the defendant knew he could not possess firearms, but he believed the rules did not apply to him.

Finally, with respect to the seriousness of the offenses, I would like to touch on the letters that the defendant sent to officials in relation to his Nevada state charge.

While he remained in Nevada in 2022, help sent writs of execution, as he calls them, to various judges, prosecutors, and lawyers, including those on his state criminal case. In these letters, he threatened officials with imprisonment and death, accusing them of genocide and crimes of humanity. He claimed that he was acting on behalf of a court created by congress in doing so. He threatened them with arrest by extrajudicial bounty hunters that he referred to as "seekers." And I think importantly, to touch on one of your earlier question to me, instead of expressing remorse for

12:53PM

12:54PM

12:54PM

12:54PM

12:54PM

12:54PM

12:54PM

12:54PM

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sending these threatening letters, in his letter to the Court
he tells the Court that those letters are legitimate and
binding.

The firearms, the ammunition, these letters, the

symbols, defendant's statements, all demonstrate a significantly grave set of offenses.

With respect to the defendant's history and characteristics, the Court should consider the defendant's positive attributes as well as his negative ones. I'm not going to go into every single one in detail, we covered them in the briefing and in the PSR. But like I started out, above-the-law mentality of the defendant is the one that is the most -- is the one that I'd like to focus on today.

At many of these court proceedings, he has declared that he is above the law, and he has demanded and insisted that he be immediately released. And he has threatened this Court and other individuals in doing so. On September 8th, he threatened people in this courtroom with an extrajudicial military tribunal and called this Court and the marshals criminals. He refused to be transported by the marshals on one occasion. He has insulted the marshals in open court.

And I understand that the Court is unlikely to take these insults personally, nor should it. But the defendant is attacking the judicial institution. He called this Court a kangaroo court because the Court did not obey his command to

be released immediately. 12:54PM 1 At the end of the day, the Court must impose a 2 12:54PM sentence that, among other factors, promotes respect for the 3 12:54PM 12:54PM rule of law. Granting the defendant's request to a below-quideline sentence here, at least in the government's 12:54PM 12:54PM view, is not sufficient to do so. Thank you. THE COURT: There are no identifiable victims, 12:54PM 8 correct? 12:54PM 9 MR. FITZSIMMONS: No, Your Honor. 12:54PM 10 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Covert? 12:54PM MR. COVERT: Yes, Your Honor. 12:54PM 11 12:54PM 12 THE COURT: So in addition to addressing the 13 difference between the small difference between your request 12:54PM 14 and the government's request, tell me why I shouldn't impose 12:54PM something at the high end of the guidelines, given -- there is 15 12:54PM 16 some scary stuff in the stuff that he writes to me, including 12:55PM 17 even the last letter that he writes to me that indicates that 12:55PM 18 he still doesn't believe that these laws apply to him. And I 12:55PM 19 believe that he -- that he sincerely holds those beliefs. 12:55PM 12:55PM 20 don't doubt that those are sincerely-held beliefs. They scare 21 Those things scare me a little bit, so talk to me about 12:55PM 22 that. 12:55PM MR. COVERT: Your Honor, in the time that I've gotten 23 12:55PM to know Mr. Hall since I was first assigned to him, and I 24 12:55PM 25 think the Court has discussed kind of the progression and the

12:55PM

manner that he has dealt with these matters, the respect he's 12:55PM 1 shown for the Court, and I think that we have come a long way. 2 12:55PM What I've learned about Mr. Hall is that he is a 3 12:55PM 12:55PM 4 great -- a man of great character and belief. He believes very genuinely in what he tells the Court, what he is doing 12:55PM 12:55PM here. But what I've also learned is that he is not 12:55PM alleging, or he is not threatening to himself inflict violence 8 12:55PM 9 upon anybody. There's no instance here with -- whether it's 12:56PM the arrest in Nevada or here where he touched the weapons in a 10 12:56PM way that was threatening to anybody. He allowed himself to be 12:56PM 11 12:56PM 12 arrested. He did not resist arrest. He --13 THE COURT: The only episode of violence is the 12:56PM 14 episode with his ex-wife before they were married, which may 12:56PM very well have been a misunderstanding --15 12:56PM 16 MR. COVERT: Correct. 12:56PM 17 THE COURT: -- based on what observers saw. 12:56PM 18 Yeah. The Court referenced her 12:56PM MR. COVERT: 19 statement that she indicates that that was patrons inside the 12:56PM 12:56PM bar who must have been under the influence, not understanding 20 21 what occurred outside the bar. 12:56PM 22 THE COURT: Right. 12:56PM 23 MR. COVERT: There were no direct witnesses, and 12:56PM 24 that's what led to this, and that's what led to --12:56PM 25 THE COURT: Regardless, though, the plea was to a 12:56PM

domestic violence --12:56PM 1 MR. COVERT: No question. 2 12:56PM 3 THE COURT: -- crime. 12:56PM 12:56PM 4 MR. COVERT: And I think that what occurred here is 5 that nobody explained to him the -- with due respect to the 12:56PM 12:57PM government, there was no order -- particular order of protection in place when Mr. Hall was arrested in Nevada in 12:57PM 2021 or here in 2022 in Buffalo. That two-year prohibition 8 12:57PM 9 was from March 2nd, I believe, of 2017, had long since 12:57PM expired. He was not alleged to have possessed any weapons in 10 12:57PM 11 Nevada during that two-year period. 12:57PM 12:57PM 12 Then he began possessing weapons believing that that -- the judge had told me, I'm allowed to possess weapons. 13 12:57PM Why would he say only two years if I can't possess them after 14 12:57PM two years? So he now has a genuine belief that he can possess 15 12:57PM 16 weapons. 12:57PM 17 He gets arrested in Nevada. He believes that 12:57PM 18 that's -- in 2021. He believes that that's a mistake, that 12:57PM 12:57PM 19 they should have known -- those charges were brought in state court not federal court until the day he was arrested here. 12:57PM 20 21 Then they indict him in federal court there under the 12:57PM 22 So he was not on the road from Nevada with the belief 12:57PM 23 that he was charged in Nevada with weapon possession, and then 12:58PM 24 had them here. He was traveling across the country. There's 12:58PM 25 no allegation with respect to his arrest in Buffalo that he 12:58PM

was intending to use the weapons.

12:58PM

12:59PM

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Even the probation report indicates because of the tragedy that occurred about a week earlier in Buffalo at the Tops store, that there was this heightened security nationwide which led them, in our mind, to illegally have pulled his vehicle over without having committed any traffic incidents whatsoever, he was pulled over because of this general heightened security. We made those motions, but we've essentially waived them by entering into a plea to try to get these cases resolved.

But he did not -- those weapons that he possessed were not in and of themselves illegal in that they were not an AR that was illegal if he been caught with it without being a 922 individual who was not to have weapons. He's perfectly legal to have a shotgun, perfectly legal to have the rifles, perfectly legal to have everything. But I know the government describes all of these items that were with it as being items that are indicative of -- are threatening, and that are dangerous. Well, you know, weapons are dangerous, and they're perfectly legal unless you're a prior felon.

THE COURT: But he is --

MR. COVERT: But he is.

THE COURT: -- and that's the point.

MR. COVERT: Yeah, he didn't -- you know, and that was the point. And he's taken responsibility now even though

12:59PM

01:00PM

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we believe that there are some very valid suppression issues that we could have continued to pursue. He has taken responsibility.

And the reason I believe, in answering the Court's first question to the government, that it's not necessary to have him incarcerated for another three weeks or up to the high end of the guideline range as probation indicates is because we've seen the changes. We've seen the respect that he has. He's gonna have his beliefs.

You know, I'm not saying this to be a wise guy, but we've got somebody who's being -- we've got a jury out today in New York that's made very similar comments about the legitimacy of the judiciary, and the judicial branch, and the players involved. And he uses all these words. That doesn't mean he's gonna be punished more because he has these beliefs.

Mr. Hall genuinely believes in what he has expressed to the Court. And he did not, in his mind, threaten the Court or anybody else when he says that you were serving the environmental court documents on you, you're subject to these penalties. He's not saying I'm going to incarcerate you, I'm going to harm you. It's, we're serving these documents on you that warn you of what the potential could be in his mind because he believes that this is a legitimate court. But he is not threatening to actually harm anybody, to actually take any actions here. He's got legitimate beliefs, he's got an

01:01PM absolute First Amendment right to express them. 1 And intertwined throughout the government's argument, 2 01:01PM and I don't blame them for their arguments and their concern, 3 01:01PM 01:01PM but intertwined throughout these arguments is always, well, you have to look at this in light of his disrespect for the 01:01PM judiciary or his dislike of the United States government. 01:01PM No, you don't. You really don't. 01:01PM THE COURT: I agree with you on that. 8 01:01PM 9 MR. COVERT: You have to separate. Otherwise, the 01:01PM First Amendment means nothing. If I can't engage in the same 10 01:01PM 11 conduct that anybody else can legally engage in because I have 01:01PM 12 beliefs that are not favored by the current political system, 01:01PM 01:01PM 13 that is not --14 THE COURT: I agree with you. I agree with you. 01:01PM anything he said about me, anything he said about the Court, 15 01:01PM 16 that's not going to affect us. 01:01PM 17 But talk to me about promoting respect about the law. 01:01PM 18 Talk to me about the seriousness of this offense, given the 01:01PM 19 fact that there was -- that there were some pretty serious 01:01PM weapons and a whole lot of ammunition involved here. 01:02PM 20 21 MR. COVERT: Well, I think that the first sign of his 01:02PM 22 respect for the law is he didn't physically try prevent 01:02PM himself from being arrested in Nevada in 2021, or here in 23 01:02PM 24 Buffalo in 2022. He did not engage in any form of trying to 01:02PM

25

01:02PM

fight off the officers.

01:02PM

01:03PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He does believe that the system is not legitimate, and he expressed that repeatedly, peacefully.

So, I believe that between that, and when we put those in their proper context, and now we have a gentleman who I believe today, and as the Court described, over the last few months is respecting the fact that he doesn't believe that this is legitimate, he's made that crystal clear. But he still's respecting. He's there, he's showing up, he's not arguing. He's still respecting the system.

He now understands that he can never have a weapon again for the rest of his life, that what he was led to believe in 2017 by the judge in Nevada, that he could have them again after two years, is absolutely incorrect. And he can never have a shotgun, rifle, pistol, anything along those lines. And he has genuinely said to me --

And one thing I give him credit for is he has never once lied to me. He's never misrepresented -- he's told me what his views with are, he told me what he did, he told me what behavior he engaged in. He did have the weapon out there. He did have the three rifles here. He's not blaming anybody.

Now, the rifle -- and an example of trying to paint everything in a negative way because of his political views. The rifles in a guitar case is a safety factor. You don't want them, the public, to see them through windows. Having

01:03PM them on a back seat of a vehicle is not a great idea. You put 1 them in something that can't be seen. 2 01:03PM 3 New York State just changed our laws so that if, in 01:03PM 01:03PM 4 New York State, if you have a pistol and you're going to leave it in a vehicle, it has to be in a box, but hidden away, and 01:04PM the public can't see it or it's in violation of the new 01:04PM New York State laws. 01:04PM This is similar in concept. It's not the exact same 8 01:04PM 9 thing. But for the safety of others, he's not advertising to 01:04PM the world, those who might come by his vehicle in parking lot, 10 01:04PM the fact that he has guns. 01:04PM 11 12 THE COURT: And if it's in a gun case, it's the same 01:04PM 13 thing. 01:04PM 14 MR. COVERT: It's the same thing. 01:04PM New York State requires you to take that gun case and 15 01:04PM 16 put it underneath a seat, in a trunk, it has to be out of 01:04PM view, because people would recognize a gun case and that would 17 01:04PM be subject to being stolen. 01:04PM 18 01:04PM 19 So we can look at everything in two ways, that if he 01:04PM 20 were just an avid sportsman, what he did wouldn't seem 21 nefarious at all. But because he has these views, we're going 01:04PM 22 to hold those views against him in violation of his First 01:04PM 23 Amendment rights, and now characterize everything in the most 01:04PM 24 negative possible way. 01:04PM 25 And I understand that. It's the same reason he was 01:04PM

pulled over when Tops happened, after Tops occurred, is that, 01:04PM 1 2 you know, we -- we get concerned, weapons are serious matters, 01:05PM the Court has repeatedly said what he pled to is to is a 3 01:05PM 01:05PM 4 serious -- are two serious offenses. There's no dispute to that. 01:05PM These are two serious offenses, and he has taken full 01:05PM responsibility. And he has not taken the position he hasn't 01:05PM

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:05PM

01:06PM

01:06PM

01:06PM

01:06PM

01:06PM

01:06PM

responsibility. And he has not taken the position he hasn't done these things. He has taken the position I've done these things, but I disagree with our government and our current system. But he's still not behaving in a way that says I shouldn't be here, I shouldn't get one more day in jail, this is all wrong, and you have to let me out now because this is an illegitimate system. He has never done that.

He has also been very, very concerned about his loved ones, those around him. The Court's read all the papers.

He's gone through a very tough patch with different family members and doesn't have access to his children. But he wants to be able to get out as quickly as possible, as anybody would who's in jail, of course, start assisting his girlfriend, who they intend to get married, assist with her child.

His mother recently had a stroke. He can't do anything from the jail to assist there.

And when it comes back to the Court question of do we need to have a deterrent message sent by having him in jail for a little while longer? Maybe significantly longer? I

01:06PM don't think so. The message has been sent. He was arrested, 1 he has been in jail for over two years. Nobody from the 01:06PM 2 outside's gonna say, well, because the judge didn't give him 3 01:06PM 01:06PM 4 two years and four months, or two years and eight months, this was not treated as a serious offense. 01:06PM He's a convicted felon now. He understands he can 01:06PM never possess a weapon again, he is going to suffer that. 01:06PM He's going to suffer any voting losses he's going to have in 8 01:06PM 9 whatever state he wants to vote in. He is going to suffer 01:06PM 10 many, many harms to him. 01:06PM And the deterrence factor has been to -- a message 01:06PM 11 12 has been sent to the community. You can't do this. You can't 01:07PM have weapons that I can have, that -- he can't possess now. 13 01:07PM 14 He now gets that. 01:07PM 01:07PM 15 He's been punished for it. Over two years in jail is 16 pretty good punishment for having misunderstood or not 01:07PM 17 understood exactly what the laws were in relation to the 01:07PM weapons. I don't see where -- what is achieved by additional 01:07PM 18 19 period of incarceration beyond today. 01:07PM 01:07PM 20 I am so impressed with how far he's come, the respect 21 he's shown. I don't think he'll have any issues on supervised 01:07PM 22 I will be shocked to get a phone call in the future release. 01:07PM 23 that he has an issue with that. 01:07PM 24 He is -- he is a -- I won't say a changed person 01:07PM 25 because his beliefs are his beliefs. But his -- he has 01:07PM

01:07PM

01:07PM

01:07PM

01:07PM

01:08PM

01:09PM

01:09PM

01:09PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

developed the respect for our system, and I think it's because Your Honor treated him respectfully.

You know, I've taken a lot of time with him. And he understands that our system has to be respected, and he can continue having those beliefs and associating with others that have those beliefs, as long as he's not violating our laws.

And a period of supervised release will show -- I fully believe that he will do just fine on supervised release. But if he can't, as the government suspects, he can't lead a law-abiding life, it will show during supervised release. We'll know. And the Court will have a chance to have him before the Court again, and we'll find out where we go from there.

But, you know, he is someone who really wants to assist others. I think that many of the character letters are just wonderful that we read, because it talks about repeatedly he'll give the shirt off his back because he -- that same conviction he takes to his political views, he takes to taking care of those around him, to his family, to his friends, to his fiancée/girlfriend that he's going to go see, committing to go help her. And he is that type of a person.

And I don't see any -- anything of evidence that I've been shown that would lead me to believe that he is not a man of character. He is certainly honest. He certainly follows through on what he wants, what he says he is going to do,

and -- and I think he'll do so here.

01:09PM

01:10PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the only -- the only reason we would question that is because of his views. And those views, I believe, are protected by the First Amendment and should not be taken into account. Obviously, the Court has to take everything into account, but those should not be used to harm him affirmatively when he has those views, but is still willing to be a law-abiding citizen, to respect our laws, to respect the courtroom, to respect the judge, and he will certainly respect being on supervised release and what he's told to do.

He had no issues in -- after he was sentenced in 2017 leading a law-abiding life, and not having weapons for two years, so he didn't have them. But, and now he knows, he definitely knows, I can assure the Court in every which way, that I have made sure he understands there will never be a weapon in his vicinity for the rest of his life, or he's facing another set of charges, even if it's after supervised release.

So, for those reasons, Your Honor, I really respectfully -- I usually don't ask for it, a specific sentence, but I believe it this case, given what he has done, given how he has really come forward and assisted us, I don't see any benefit to additional incarceration time, and I would ask that the Court sentence him to time served.

THE COURT: Thank you.

01:10PM	1	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Your Honor, may I respond briefly?
01:10PM	2	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
01:10PM	3	MR. FITZSIMMONS: May I respond briefly to a couple f
01:10PM	4	points?
01:10PM	5	THE COURT: Very briefly, yeah.
01:10PM	6	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Your Honor, I
01:10PM	7	THE COURT: I'm going to give Mr. Covert the last
01:10PM	8	word, but go ahead.
01:10PM	9	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Sure. I do there was a
01:10PM	10	discussion about the bail order and the which federal
01:10PM	11	charges, and how they all lined up, so I do want to just talk
01:10PM	12	about the timeline a little bit because it's important for his
01:10PM	13	compliance.
01:10PM	14	He was charged by the State of Nevada in 2021.
01:10PM	15	THE COURT: Okay.
01:10PM	16	MR. FITZSIMMONS: He was released by the State of
01:11PM	17	Nevada in 2021 on conditions, conditions that he signed in
01:11PM	18	2021 that he would not possess a firearm.
01:11PM	19	Even though he was not federally charged in Nevada,
01:11PM	20	while he was in Nevada well, the grand jury returned an
01:11PM	21	indictment, he didn't appear, he didn't know about the Nevada
01:11PM	22	federal charges until he came here, he was on those
01:11PM	23	conditions. So what was stated about, well, he still thought
01:11PM	24	that he was not a prohibited person is just not true. He had
01:11PM	25	those bail conditions in place. He made statements to law

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:11PM

01:12PM

enforcement indicating that he knew that he was a prohibited person.

The only other thing that I wanted to mention was the argument about the First Amendment. Obviously, the defendant has a First Amendment right to believe what he wants to believe, but what I take issue with is the suggestion that he has respected the law and abided by the law even though he has these First Amendment beliefs.

He has sent threatening letters to officials. He, in his interview, indicated that the reason why he possessed the firearms is that he didn't believe the law applied to him.

That is conduct. That is not a First Amendment belief. He is acting on is beliefs by one -- he also, one, possessing the firearms, two, leaving the State of Nevada when he had a federal -- or, sorry, a state court, criminal court appearance less than a week away stating that he intended not to show up to those proceedings. Why? Because he did not believe that the laws applied to him.

So I don't agree with the assertion that while he just has these beliefs, he's entitled to them under the First Amendment, but they're not affecting his ability to -- or, did not affect his ability to respect the law and abide by the law. There were several incidences in which those beliefs, he acted on them inappropriately.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to respond to the

01:12PM first thing he said about the fact that he signed papers in 1 Nevada in the state court prosecution saying he couldn't 01:12PM 2 possess a weapon, and yet came here? Isn't that inconsistent 3 01:12PM 01:13PM 4 with your argument --MR. COVERT: Your Honor, it is. And we didn't -- you 01:13PM 5 6 know, I just went back through the government's documents, and 01:13PM we didn't realize that he had signed an order of protection, 01:13PM or an order that says that he cannot have a weapon. 01:13PM 8 9 not understand that. And whatever the flurry of what was 01:13PM going on when he was in court and released, that box was 10 01:13PM checked and he did sign it. So, we -- I do retract that. 01:13PM 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 01:13PM 13 MR. COVERT: But, Your Honor, again, I think that 01:13PM 14 this is all centered upon -- given that we're only looking at 01:13PM some additional time, marginal time, which is very important 01:13PM 15 to him because that's his free -- his -- his freedom, I don't 16 01:13PM 17 believe that anything that the government, respectfully, they 01:13PM 18 made very good comments, and we don't in any way mean to 01:13PM 19 diminish their concerns, but I don't believe that any of the 01:13PM factors that they discussed justify any additional time beyond 01:13PM 20 21 today, because it's -- we're not talking about an extra two 01:13PM 22 years, an extra three years, we're talking about a time, 01:13PM 23 and --01:14PM 24 That's what I'm struggling with, that's THE COURT: 01:14PM 25 exactly what I'm struggling with.

01:14PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:14PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

01:15PM

MR. COVERT: Yeah. And my understanding then is that -- and I had to ask a much greater mind than myself, MaryBeth, how it works if the Court does give him some additional time. And my understanding is that he has to go back in and then be processed by the Bureau of Prisons because they have to actually make the determination of good time served. Ms. Ferraro and I were dealing with trying to calculate that today, and she even contacted the Bureau of Prisons, and they gave her, you know, nonofficial answers but ballparks, because it's all calculated there.

I'm really looking to avoid that. And according to, again, Mrs. Covert, we then have to stay on top of them to try to get them to make the decision quickly, because he may end up being there longer than is anticipated because they don't make a calculation and decision quickly enough.

I just don't see the need to put him through that. I think that, you know, again, he -- he'll -- if this is -- I don't believe that this is a change in how he's respecting the Court based on trying to get a better sentence. I think that I have helped and you have helped, Your Honor, get him to come along and understand that he has to do two tiers here, he can have his views but respect us. And beyond that now, I think supervised release will be the acid test of whether he's genuine in that or not.

And, again, he has never brandished the weapons here.

01:15PM It would be a different discussion if he brandished weapons. 1 So, Your Honor, otherwise, we -- I think we've 01:15PM 2 3 exhausted the issues. 01:15PM 01:15PM THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hall, anything you would like to say? You can 01:15PM 5 stay seated. You can stay seated, yeah, just talk right into 01:15PM the microphone. 01:15PM THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. This has been a 01:15PM 8 9 life-changing experience for me. 01:15PM 10 Any of the hardships that I've had to face during 01:15PM this have, you know, really shaken me to the core. You know, 01:15PM 11 12 I've been dealing with just the loss of time with my loved 01:16PM ones, my children. My mom has suffered a stroke during this 13 01:16PM 14 time. I have people outside of these walls who really, 01:16PM really, really need me to be free. And these are people who 15 01:16PM 16 are innocent, and they love me, and I love them, and they need 01:16PM 17 me, and I need to be free for them. 01:16PM 18 And I don't need to ever go back to jail for any 01:16PM 19 reason, not even a parking ticket. I am fully in compliance 01:16PM with your requests and your -- the government's request to 01:16PM 20 21 just put away and leave the firearms alone. I'm fine with 01:16PM 22 that. 01:16PM 23 I have never threatened or harmed anyone in my life, 01:16PM 24 nor would I. I just -- I just need to be free for -- to get 01:16PM 25 on with my life. People are depending on me. And this is 01:16PM

01:17PM very painful to be in jail this long. 1 So as far as my comments to this Court -- I 2 01:17PM apologize. I apologize. 01:17PM 3 01:17PM THE COURT: Thank you. You know, I have only had love for my 01:17PM 5 THE DEFENDANT: 6 country, and if it means that I have to pick up a pen to 01:17PM change my country, then that's what I'll do. But there will 01:17PM be no weapons involved. 8 01:17PM 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Does either counsel know of 01:17PM 10 any reason why sentence should not now be imposed? 01:17PM 11 MR. FITZSIMMONS: No, Your Honor. 01:17PM 12 MR. COVERT: No, Your Honor. 01:17PM 13 THE COURT: Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 01:17PM 14 1984 and the 2023 version of the sentencing guidelines, it's 01:17PM the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Justin Hall, is 15 01:17PM 16 hereby sentenced to time served on each count to run 01:17PM 17 concurrent with one another. The cost of incarceration fee is 01:17PM waived. 01:17PM 18 19 The defendant shall be placed on supervised release 01:17PM 01:17PM 20 for a term of three years on each count, again to run 21 concurrently, and the following conditions shall apply. 01:18PM 22 Within 72 hours, the defendant shall report in person 01:18PM 23 to the probation office in this district, unless his probation 01:18PM 24 officer instructs him differently. 01:18PM 25 The defendant shall comply with the standard 01:18PM

01:18PM conditions of supervised release adopted by the Court. 1 The defendant shall not commit any crimes under 01:18PM 2 federal, state, or local law. 01:18PM 3 01:18PM The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, or any other dangerous device. 01:18PM 5 The defendant shall not possess a controlled 01:18PM substance except as prescribed by a physician. 01:18PM The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of a 01:18PM 8 9 DNA sample as required by the Justice For All Act of 2004. 01:18PM The defendant shall participate in a program for 10 01:18PM substance abuse, including substance abuse testing such as 01:18PM 11 12 urinalysis and other testing, and shall undergo a drug/alcohol 01:18PM evaluation and treatment if substance abuse is indicated by 13 01:18PM 14 the testing. The probation officer will supervise the details 01:18PM of any testing and treatment, including the selection of a 15 01:18PM treatment provider and schedule. If inpatient treatment is 16 01:18PM recommended, however, it must be approved by the Court unless 17 01:18PM the defendant consents. 01:19PM 18 19 The defendant is not to leave treatment until 01:19PM completion or as ordered by the Court. While in treatment, 01:19PM 20 21 and after discharge from treatment, the defendant is to 01:19PM 22 abstain from using alcohol. The defendant is required to 01:19PM contribute to the cost of services rendered. And the 23 01:19PM 24 defendant shall abstain from the excessive use of alcohol. 01:19PM

Because this offense occurred after September 13,

25

01:19PM

01:20PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1994, drug testing is required by the 1994 Crime Control Act.

And I'm imposing these conditions, and in particular the parts of these conditions in addition to the required drug testing, because of the defendant's admitted history of alcohol use, using up to six beers at a time, and in particular his 2005 conviction for driving under the influence, and his 2017 conviction for possessing a gun under the influence of alcohol or drugs. I believe that this condition therefore — these conditions therefore serve the statutory sentencing purposes of rehabilitation and public protection.

The defendant shall submit to a search of his person, property, vehicle, place of residence, or any other property under his control based on reasonable suspicion, and shall permit confiscation of any evidence or contraband discovered.

I'm imposing this condition because the offense of conviction involved weapons and ammunition. Those can be easily hidden from law enforcement. And I believe that the condition therefore serves the statutory sentencing purposes of deterrence and public protection.

The defendant shall pay to the United States a mandatory special assessment of \$100, that's due immediately. Payment to be made to the Clerk, United States District Court, Attention Finance, United States Courthouse, 2 Niagara Square, Buffalo, New York 14202.

01:20PM

01:20PM

01:20PM

01:20PM

01:20PM

01:21PM

So, this was a tough one. In determining the 1 2 sentence, I've carefully reviewed the circumstances of the case and the plea. I began my analysis with the guidelines. 3 I've considered the arguments, the excellent arguments, raised by both sides as to what the appropriate sentence should be in this case. And most important, I've considered the factors in 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), which I stated earlier and I won't repeat. 8 9 I'm not imposing a fine. I'm not imposing any costs of imprisonment or costs of supervised release because I don't 10 11 believe you have the financial ability to make those payments, 12 because I think that would have a disproportionate impact on the good people who you obviously love and who obviously love 13 14 you. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: And because I want to set you up to 17 succeed now --18 Thank you, sir. THE DEFENDANT: 19 THE COURT: -- and not to fail. So I imposed the sentence largely because of the 20 21 requirement that I'm charged with, to impose a sentence that I 22 think is sufficient but not greater than necessary. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: And I ask myself in that circumstance, 25 and Mr. Fitzsimmons has made some excellent arguments as to

why a low end of the guidelines sentence should be imposed. 01:21PM 1 And I can show you my notes where I say 30 months. That's 01:21PM 2 what I have in my notes. 30 months. But I ask myself, in a 3 01:22PM 01:22PM 4 case like this, he's already done almost two-and-a-half years in jail. And what good is that -- what good is the 01:22PM incremental additional time going to do to him or to deter 01:22PM others? 01:22PM Now, to deter others, the additional time is not 8 01:22PM 9 going to have any impact at all. So the question really is 01:22PM 10 the message to Mr. Hall. What message do I want to send to 01:22PM 11 Mr. Hall? 01:22PM 01:22PM 12 And here's the message I want to send: First of all, 13 this offense, as Mr. Fitzsimmons said and has Mr. Covert 01:22PM 14 conceded, is a very serious offense. It involves weapons, and 01:22PM all weapons offenses are serious offenses. Shooting deaths in 15 01:22PM 16 this country are epidemic. It's horrible what is happening 01:22PM 17 with weapons in this country. 01:23PM 18 And while your criminal history category is a I, I 01:23PM 19 get the sense that at least when this process started, you had 01:23PM 01:23PM 20 the belief that you were above the law, that you didn't need 21 to comply with the law because of your views on the Second 01:23PM 22 Amendment, and because on your views about your being a 01:23PM 23 sovereign -- help me. 01:23PM 24 THE DEFENDANT: 01:23PM National. 25 MR. COVERT: Sovereign national. 01:23PM

THE COURT: Sovereign national, okay. I want to get 01:23PM 1 2 it right because I know language is very important to 01:23PM Mr. Hall. 01:23PM 01:23PM THE DEFENDANT: Right. 5 THE COURT: Being a sovereign national. So I worry 01:23PM 6 about that, and that's -- and that's what was leading me 01:23PM toward imposing a sentence of 30 months. 01:23PM Now as I said before, the difference between what 8 01:23PM 9 you're asking for and what I've imposed, time served, and the 01:23PM 10 high end of the guidelines, which was recommended by 01:23PM 11 probation, is a relatively small difference. And the 01:24PM 12 difference between what you're asking for and what I imposed, 01:24PM time served, and what the government asked for, 30 months, 13 01:24PM 14 is -- is almost nothing. 01:24PM So, how do I best send the message to you that --15 01:24PM 16 what you did was a serious offense; that you're not above the 01:24PM law; that there are rules that apply to everyone; and that 17 01:24PM 18 regardless of what you might think, there are consequences for 01:24PM 19 not following the rules -- is an incremental term, a few weeks 01:24PM is really what we're talking, and I can't imagine it's more 01:24PM 20 01:24PM 21 than a month and a half. But is a month and a half going to 22 impress that on you? 01:24PM 23 Or, are you going to be more impressed by two things? 01:24PM 24 Number 1, the fact that you've gotten a very small 01:24PM 25 break from me --01:24PM

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. 01:24PM 1 2 THE COURT: -- because I respect you, and because 01:24PM I've seen what progress you've made; and 3 01:25PM 01:25PM Number 2, and just as important, by varying below the 5 quidelines, the quidelines for a violation of your release, 01:25PM tell the judge who will sentence you on any violation for your 01:25PM release that the judge can go above the guidelines. 01:25PM If I gave you a guideline sentence, that would not be 8 01:25PM If I gave you 30 months, then if you violated, the 9 the case. 01:25PM 10 quidelines would be the quidelines, and the judge who would 01:25PM impose a sentence for any violation of the guidelines would 01:25PM 11 12 have the guidelines. 01:25PM 13 In addition to that now, because I've varied very 01:25PM 14 slightly below the quidelines, the message to that judge is: 01:25PM You should take into account the fact that he already got his 01:25PM 15 break and shouldn't get another break if he violates. 16 01:25PM 17 THE DEFENDANT: Right. Yes, sir. 01:25PM 18 So when I take those things together, the 01:25PM THE COURT: 01:25PM 19 amount of time that you've done already --01:25PM 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: -- the -- the fact that I'm required to 01:25PM 22 impose a sentence that's sufficient but not greater than 01:26PM 23 necessary, the fact that I think you've gotten the message 01:26PM 24 that there are rules and if you violate the rules there are 01:26PM 25 consequences, you certainly had a consequence of serving 01:26PM

almost two-and-a-half years already, and the fact that hanging over your head, for the next three years anyway, and I've imposed the maximum term of supervised release that I could impose, so hanging over your head for the next three years will be the fact that if you violate, if you possess a weapon for heaven sakes, or if you violate in any other way, the judge can take into account the fact that you got a break this And the judge should take into account the fact that you got a break this time. I think that that's sufficient but not greater than necessary.

So to recognize the nature and circumstances and seriousness of the offense; taking into account your history and characteristics; to promote respect for the law; to impose a fair punishment; to deter others; and to send the message to you that there are rules, and rules need to be followed, I think that this sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary.

And I've imposed that maximum term of supervised release to help you return to society now, to help you transition back, but it's also going to allow the probation office to monitor your activities and to make sure you don't engage in illegal activity in the future.

And regardless of what you believe, and I -- as Mr. Covert said, and I don't doubt that you sincerely believe the things that you've said in this Court and the things that

01:26PM 01:26PM

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:27PM 01:27PM

01:27PM 01:27PM

01:27PM

01:27PM 01:27PM

01:27PM

01:27PM

01:27PM 01:27PM

01:27PM

01:27PM

you've written in your letters. I don't doubt that for a 01:27PM 1 second. 01:27PM 2 THE DEFENDANT: I don't deny them, sir. 3 01:27PM 01:27PM 4 THE COURT: But I want you to understand that not 5 everyone agrees with you. And that unfortunately for you, the 01:27PM folks that hold the authority and that can impose punishment 01:27PM on you don't agree with you. 01:28PM Now, that doesn't mean that I don't respect you. 8 Ιt 01:28PM 9 doesn't even mean that I don't respect your beliefs. 01:28PM 10 disagree with your beliefs, I disagree with what you say. 01:28PM But 11 I respect every single person that comes in this courtroom, 01:28PM 01:28PM 12 and I don't care what they believe, and I don't care what they've done. I respect them as human beings. 13 01:28PM 14 THE DEFENDANT: I receive that, sir. 01:28PM THE COURT: And I know you understand. And I know it 01:28PM 15 16 took a while for you to get that. But what -- what I want to 01:28PM 17 impress on you today is that while I have that respect for 01:28PM 18 you, and while I have that respect for what you believe and 01:28PM 19 that I disagree with, that doesn't give you the license to do 01:28PM what you want to do, and there are going to be penalties if 01:28PM 20 21 you do what you want to do that the law says you can't do. 01:28PM 22 And whether you agree with that or not, and whether 01:28PM 23 you think you have to comply with it or not, I'm telling you: 01:28PM 24 You do have to comply. And if you don't, you're gonna end up 01:28PM 25 being away from your loved ones, as well. 01:28PM

THE DEFENDANT: Understood. 01:28PM 1 2 THE COURT: And I took into account those letters. 01:28PM 3 And I took into account the fact that those folks said the 01:29PM 01:29PM 4 things they said about you. I took into account the fact that you think you've gotten a raw deal in the past with respect to 01:29PM the legal system. I think all those things get factored into 01:29PM the equation. 01:29PM So, I have talked too much, and I've said too little 8 01:29PM 9 probably. But based on the guidelines, based on the positions 01:29PM 10 of the parties, and based on my review of all the facts and 01:29PM 11 circumstances presented to me, I believe that the sentence I 01:29PM 12 have imposed is sufficient but not greater than necessary to 01:29PM comply with the purposes of sentencing in 18, United States 13 01:29PM Code, Section 3553(a)(2). 14 01:29PM Under Rule 32(j)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of 01:29PM 15 16 Criminal Procedure, I now advise the defendant of his right to 01:29PM 17 appeal. 01:29PM 18 You have a statutory right to appeal your sentence 01:29PM 01:29PM 19 under certain circumstances, particularly if you think the 01:29PM 20 sentence is contrary to law. 01:29PM 21 The defendant may waive those rights as part of a 22 plea agreement. 01:29PM 23 And as I think you recognize, Mr. Hall, you entered 01:29PM 24 into a plea agreement in which you waived some of your rights 01:29PM 25 to appeal. Specifically, you waived your right to appeal a

01:29PM

01:30PM	1	sentence that is within or less than the guidelines range of
01:30PM	2	imprisonment. These waivers are generally enforceable. If
01:30PM	3	you believe the waiver is unenforceable, you can present that
01:30PM	4	theory to the appellate court.
01:30PM	5	If you want to attempt to appeal some issue that you
01:30PM	6	think survives your waiver, you must file a notice of appeal
01:30PM	7	within 14 days.
01:30PM	8	If you're unable to pay for the cost of an appeal,
01:30PM	9	you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, that is
01:30PM	10	leave to appeal without paying costs.
01:30PM	11	You have the right to be represented by counsel on
01:30PM	12	any appeal, and if you can't afford counsel, you have the
01:30PM	13	right to have counsel appointed to represent you free of
01:30PM	14	charge.
01:30PM	15	The forfeiture is made a part of the sentence and
01:30PM	16	judgment.
01:30PM	17	Do I need to issue a final order of forfeiture,
01:30PM	18	Mr. Fitzsimmons?
01:30PM	19	MR. FITZSIMMONS: I believe so, Your Honor.
01:30PM	20	THE COURT: And you'll prepare that for me?
01:30PM	21	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Yes, Your Honor.
01:30PM	22	THE COURT: Okay. Anything further from the
01:30PM	23	government? You have a notion motion.
01:30PM	24	MR. FITZSIMMONS: Yes, Your Honor. The government
01:30PM	25	moves to dismiss the second count of the indictment, here,

22-CR, one moment --01:30PM 1 THE COURT: 86. 2 01:31PM MR. FITZSIMMONS: -- 86. The second count of that 3 01:31PM 4 indictment, Your Honor. 01:31PM THE COURT: That motion is granted. 01:31PM MR. COVERT: Thank you. 01:31PM THE COURT: The statement of reasons shall be 01:31PM included in the judgement, and shall be provided to the 8 01:31PM probation office and to the Sentencing Commission. 01:31PM A complete copy of the presentence report shall be 10 01:31PM provided to the probation office and to the Sentencing 01:31PM 11 12 Commission. 01:31PM Any other copies of the report and related material 13 01:31PM shall remain confidential. 14 01:31PM And as I said earlier, if an appeal is taken, counsel 15 01:31PM 16 will be given access to the report. 01:31PM 17 A judgment of the conviction should be prepared 01:31PM promptly on the form prescribed for judgments including 01:31PM 18 19 sentences under the Sentencing Reform Act. 01:31PM Two last things that I want to say. 01:31PM 20 21 First of all, Mr. Fitzsimmons, I thought that your 01:31PM 22 papers that you submitted and that your remarks today were 01:31PM 23 outstanding, and I thought that they were reasonable, and I 01:31PM 24 did not think they were over the top in any way. In fact, 01:31PM 25 it's one of the finest sentencing presentations I've seen from 01:31PM

01:31PM the government in all the time that I've been on the bench. 1 And I would have, if I did not think that what I'm 2 01:31PM doing sends the message to Mr. Hall, as I said, I don't think 3 01:32PM 01:32PM 4 that deterrence is going to change at all whether I give 30 months or time served because it's, you know, somebody from 01:32PM the outside looking at this, it's not gonna make any 01:32PM difference at all. I think that the message to Mr. Hall is 01:32PM the most important thing. And I think that the sentence that 8 01:32PM 9 I fashioned sends that message better than a 30-month 01:32PM sentence, especially because of the fact that the guidelines 10 01:32PM tell a sentencing judge on a violation of supervised release 01:32PM 11 01:32PM 12 the judge can impose a harsher sentence under those 13 circumstances. And so I think the message is sent that way. 01:32PM 14 But I don't want you to think that in any way I did 01:32PM not really consider and take to heart what you said, because 01:32PM 15 16 it was, I thought, an outstanding presentation. 01:32PM And, Mr. Covert, obviously, you've done a fabulous 17 01:32PM 18 job for Mr. Hall from everything from the beginning of this 01:32PM 19 case when he wanted to go to trial immediately and you, you 01:33PM know, said let's take a deep breath and move a little bit more 01:33PM 20 21 slowly, to the negotiated plea where the government was bound 01:33PM 22 to ask for no more than 30 months. I think that that is 01:33PM 23 outstanding. 01:33PM 24 So, Mr. Hall, you're lucky, you had a great lawyer. 01:33PM

THE DEFENDANT:

I agree.

25

01:33PM

01:33PM	1	THE COURT: And you should, I think, value the fact
01:33PM	2	that the prosecutor was pretty reasoned
01:33PM	3	THE DEFENDANT: I like him a lot better than Lenihan.
01:33PM	4	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
01:33PM	5	THE DEFENDANT: I like him a lot better than Lenihan.
01:33PM	6	THE COURT: Yeah. Well, he's a good man. They're
01:33PM	7	all good men. They're all good men. But I'm glad this ended
01:33PM	8	up the way it did, I wish you all the luck in the world,
01:33PM	9	Mr. Hall, and
01:33PM	10	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, sir.
01:33PM	11	THE COURT: please, don't let me down.
01:33PM	12	THE DEFENDANT: I won't, sir.
01:33PM	13	THE COURT: Don't violate and make me regret what I
01:33PM	14	did today.
01:33PM	15	THE DEFENDANT: No, you won't.
01:33PM	16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all, very much.
01:33PM	17	MR. COVERT: I do have one question, Your Honor.
01:33PM	18	As I'm sure Your Honor surmises, he's going to want
01:33PM	19	to reside in Massachusetts and have the parole the
01:33PM	20	supervised release transferred there.
01:33PM	21	THE COURT: Yeah, I don't have any problem at all
01:34PM	22	with that. You'll have to work that out with the probation
01:34PM	23	office.
01:34PM	24	Ms. Ferraro, I'm sure you know ways that that can be
01:34PM	25	done?
	l	l l

```
USPO FERRARO: Yes, Your Honor.
01:34PM
              1
              2
                           One more thing from probation. There was two counts,
01:34PM
                  so we'd recommend a $200 special assessment. I think you said
              3
01:34PM
01:34PM
              4
                  $100 initially.
                           THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I apologize that.
01:34PM
                  I just missed that completely. Yes. There's a $200 -- $100
01:34PM
                  on each count, for a total of $200, and I apologize for that.
01:34PM
                           I did impose the sentence concurrent with both --
              8
01:34PM
              9
                  with respect to the time served and with respect to the
01:34PM
             10
                  supervised release, correct?
01:34PM
             11
01:34PM
                           MR. COVERT: Yes.
01:34PM
             12
                           USPO FERRARO: Yes, Your Honor.
             13
                           THE COURT: So I didn't mess that up. Okay, I just
01:34PM
             14
                  missed up the special assessment. Thank you, Ms. Ferraro.
01:34PM
                  You have always have my back. I appreciate that.
             15
01:34PM
             16
                           USPO FERRARO: No problem.
01:34PM
             17
                           MR. COVERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
01:34PM
                           THE COURT: Anything else?
01:34PM
             18
01:34PM
             19
                           MR. FITZSIMMONS: Nothing from the government.
01:34PM
             20
                           THE COURT: Good luck, Mr. Hall.
             21
                           THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
01:34PM
             22
                           THE CLERK: All rise.
01:34PM
             23
                            (Proceedings concluded at 1:34 p.m.)
01:34PM
             24
01:34PM
             25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I certify that these original notes are a true and correct record of proceedings in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York on May 29, 2024. s/ Ann M. Sawyer Ann M. Sawyer, FCRR, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter U.S.D.C., W.D.N.Y.